

Message

From: Woods, Clint [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BC65010F5C2E48F4BC2AA050DB50D198-WOODS, CLIN]
Sent: 5/4/2018 8:36:44 PM
To: Abboud, Michael [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b6f5af791a1842f1adcc088cbf9ed3ce-Abboud, Mic]
CC: Feeley, Drew (Robert) [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=abae82aa36da4d3383eae19a8efa683c-Feeley, Rob]; Bowman, Liz [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c3d4d94d3e4b4b1f80904056703ebc80-Bowman, Eli]; Wilcox, Jahan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=88fd588e97d3405d869bcae98d391984-Wilcox, Jah]; Block, Molly [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=60d0c681a16441a0b4fa16aa2dd4b9c5-Block, Moll]; Daniell, Kelsi [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=cd867173479344b3bda202b3004ff830-Daniell, Ke]
Subject: RE: Science Transparency rule

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Woods, Clint
Sent: Friday, May 4, 2018 4:30 PM
To: Abboud, Michael <abboud.michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Feeley, Drew (Robert) <Feeley.Drew@epa.gov>; Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>; Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>; Block, Molly <block.molly@epa.gov>; Daniell, Kelsi <daniell.kelsi@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Science Transparency rule

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

On May 4, 2018, at 3:43 PM, Abboud, Michael <abboud.michael@epa.gov> wrote:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Armstrong, Annalee [<mailto:Annalee.Armstrong@spglobal.com>]

Sent: Friday, May 4, 2018 3:10 PM

To: Press <Press@epa.gov>

Subject: Science Transparency rule

Hello, this is Annalee Armstrong from S&P Global. The EPA's recently released Science Transparency rule cited the journals Science and Nature as sources of your new policy, and yet those publications have specifically pushed back against the methods adopted by Administrator Pruitt (See editorial from [Science here](#), and [Nature here](#)).

Can you please provide me with comment on whether the EPA consulted experts from these journals, or any scientists in developing the new rule? Do you have any specific response to some of the criticisms leveled by Nature or Science? Science, specifically said of the proposal: "Here, a push for transparency appears actually to be a mechanism for suppressing important scientific evidence in policy-making, thereby threatening the public's well-being."

My deadline for this information will be Monday morning at 10 a.m. Thank you.

Annalee Armstrong

EPA Reporter
Arlington, Va. 571-814-2081
Website: SNL.com
Twitter: @AGKootenay



The information contained in this message is intended only for the recipient, and may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, please be aware that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. S&P Global Inc. reserves the right, subject to applicable local law, to monitor, review and process the content of any electronic message or information sent to or from S&P Global Inc. e-mail addresses without informing the sender or recipient of the message. By sending electronic message or information to S&P Global Inc. e-mail addresses you, as the sender, are consenting to S&P Global Inc. processing any of your personal data therein.